

## Irreversible and reversible modes of operation of deterministic ratchets

I. M. Sokolov

*Theoretische Polymerphysik, Universität Freiburg, Hermann-Herder-Strasse 3, D-79104 Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany*

(Received 29 September 2000; published 24 January 2001)

We discuss a problem of optimization of the energetic efficiency of a simple rocked ratchet. We concentrate on a low-temperature case in which the particle's motion in a ratchet potential is deterministic. We show that the energetic efficiency of a ratchet working adiabatically is bounded from above by a value depending on the form of ratchet potential. The ratchets with strongly asymmetric potentials can achieve ideal efficiency of unity without approaching reversibility. On the other hand we show that for any form of the ratchet potential a set of time protocols of the outer force exists under which the operation is reversible and the ideal value of efficiency  $\eta=1$  is also achieved. The mode of operation of the ratchet is still quasistatic but not adiabatic. The high values of efficiency can be preserved even under elevated temperatures.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.63.021107

PACS number(s): 05.70.Ln, 05.40.-a, 87.10.+e

### I. INTRODUCTION

The general interest to the thermodynamics of living things has motivated huge interest to the investigation of simple (probably oversimplified in comparison with biological systems, but still very nontrivial) models of thermodynamical systems, which are very nonlinear and are driven very far from equilibrium. The stochastic or deterministic ratchet models present an extremely popular class of physical models under investigation [1–18]. The energetic efficiency is one of the simplest (and deepest) thermodynamical characteristics of such systems and is now under extensive investigation [3,5–17]. The simplest model, a rocked ratchet, corresponds to a particle moving in a spatially asymmetric potential under the influence of the external field, either periodic or stochastic. Under such conditions, the system generates directed current, which can flow against an additional constant external potential difference, thus producing useful work by, e.g., charging a battery. In what follows we discuss a question of optimizing an efficiency of a ratchet and discuss the low-temperature (deterministic) limit [19,20], as the simplest one for the optimization problem. We show, that the efficiency of a ratchet working as a rectifier is limited by some finite value  $\eta=\eta_{\max}$ , depending on the exact form of the ratchet potential. Taking ratchet potentials, which are rather flat on one side and extremely steep on another side, of a saw tooth leads to  $\eta_{\max}\rightarrow 1$ . On the other hand, under judicious choice of the protocol of the external force, eventually any cold or macroscopic ratchet can achieve the ideal value of  $\eta=1$ . We show that such protocol is not unique. Moreover, we discuss how the large values of efficiencies can be preserved even in the systems under finite temperature.

### II. A RATCHET AS A RECTIFIER

Describing ratchet systems in a time-dependent external field one typically starts from a Langevin equation [1],

$$\dot{x} = \mu[F(x) + f(t)] + \xi(t), \quad (1)$$

where  $\mu$  is the mobility of the particles, and  $\xi(t)$  is a  $\delta$ -correlated Gaussian Langevin force with zero mean and

with  $\overline{\xi^2(t)} = 2\theta\mu$ , where  $\theta = kT$  is the energetic temperature. Here  $F(x)$  is a force corresponding to the ratchet potential and  $f(t) = f_0 + f_1(t)$  is a sum of an external see-saw force  $f_1(t)$  with zero mean and of a constant force  $f_0$ , against which the useful work is done by pumping the particles uphill. The evolution of the particles' distribution  $p(x,t)$  is then described by a Fokker-Planck equation,

$$\frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( D \frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial x} + \mu p(x,t) \frac{\partial U(x,t)}{\partial x} \right), \quad (2)$$

where  $U(x) = V(x) - f(t)x$  is the overall potential and  $D = \theta\mu$  is the diffusion coefficient. The simplest case of a ratchet device is delivered by a periodic piecewise-linear potential

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} Vx/a & \text{for } 0 < x \leq a \\ V(L-x)/(L-a) & \text{for } a < x \leq L. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Under a very slowly changing field, the system can simply be described as a rectifier (nonlinear element) whose ‘‘volt-ampere’’ characteristics can easily be calculated in an adiabatic approximation, as it was done, e.g., in Ref. [1]. The corresponding expression is given in the Appendix A. In the limiting case of deterministic operation [corresponding to  $\theta \rightarrow 0$  or to the situation, when keeping the form of the ratchet constant, one increases its size in a way that both  $V/a$  and  $V/(L-a)$  stay constant] the current through the ratchet as a function of the outer field  $f$  reads

$$I = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } -V/(L-a) < f < V/a \\ \mu \left( \frac{L-a}{f+V/(L-a)} + \frac{a}{f-V/a} \right)^{-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Note that the deterministic current, Eq. (4), is simply given through  $I = 1/\tau$ , where

$$\tau = \mu^{-1} \left[ \frac{L-a}{f+V/(L-a)} + \frac{a}{f-V/a} \right] \quad (5)$$

is the time necessary for a particle to traverse the period of a ratchet. For  $-V/(L-a) < f < V/a$  the particle is trapped, and

the deterministic current vanishes: we refer to this interval as the mobility gap. Note that the adiabatic approximation holds if the characteristic times of varying of the external field are much larger than  $\tau$ .

The general approach to energetic efficiency of a system rectifying adiabatic field is discussed in some detail in Ref. [21]. In our case it is enough to mention that the useful work, i.e., the work done against the constant force  $f_0$  per unit time is equal to  $A_u = -\overline{I(f_0+f_1)f_0}$ , and that the work of the outer force  $f_1$  equals  $\overline{I(f_0+f_1)f_1}$ , so that the efficiency of the ratchet is given by

$$\eta = -\frac{\overline{I(f_0+f_1)f_0}}{\overline{I(f_0+f_1)f_1}}, \quad (6)$$

where the mean values are taken over the probability distribution  $p(f_1)$  of  $f_1$  (for periodic or stochastic forces) or over a period (for periodic ones). The energetic efficiency of a ratchet is not an intrinsic property of the device, but depends on the protocol of the external force. Thus, judicious choice of such protocols can improve the efficiencies strongly.

Finding the exact upper bound of such an integral expression as Eq. (6) under arbitrary changes of  $f_0$  and of the distribution of  $f_1$ , is not an easy task even for relatively simple  $I(f)$  functions. Under deterministic operation (due to the existence of the mobility gap around  $f=0$ ) this optimization can follow by the comparison of different situations. To do this we note that the current, Eq. (4) is a monotonously growing function of  $f$  everywhere except of the gap  $-V/(L-a) < f < V/a$ . Let us consider the case of an external force  $f(t) = f_0 + f_1(t)$ , with a mean value  $f_0$  and a piecewise-constant force  $f_1(t)$  with zero mean taking only two values  $f_+$  and  $-f_-$ . The value of  $f(t)$  then switches between the values  $f_{\min} = f_0 - f_-$  and  $f_{\max} = f_0 + f_+$ . Let us discuss different choices of  $f_{\min}$ ,  $f_0$ , and  $f_{\max}$  with respect to the gap boundaries. If both extreme values lie within the mobility gap, no current flows and no useful work is produced. Thus at least one of the values,  $f_{\max}$  or  $f_{\min}$ , must lie outside of the gap. The positive work is produced if the current  $I$  has a sign opposite to one of  $f_0$ . This cannot be the case if both  $f_{\min}$  and  $f_{\max}$  lie on one side of the mobility gap. Thus, if, e.g.,  $f_{\max} > 0$  lies outside of the gap,  $f_{\min}$  lies either within the gap or on its other side. In this case, if the current flows through the system, it always flows in the direction of  $f_1$ , and thus  $\overline{I(f_0+f_1)f_1}$  is always positive. By comparing different situations it is easy to convince oneself that under  $a > L/2$  the highest efficiencies will be achieved when  $f_0 < 0$  and  $f_{\min}$  still lies within the gap (taking  $f_{\min}$  outside the gap will reduce useful work and increase losses) and the value of  $f_{\max} > 0$  lies outside of the gap. Having  $f_0$  fixed, we see that the efficiency monotonously decreases when allowing for larger  $f_1$  values, since the function  $\overline{I(f_0+f_1)f_1}$  always grows faster with  $f_1$  than  $|\overline{I(f_0+f_1)f_0}|$  does. Thus the optimization takes place for the situation when  $f_{\max}$  approaches the upper bound of the gap from above. Similar considerations can be applied to a general case of an external force  $f(t)$ , which is bounded by the values  $f_{\min}$  and  $f_{\max}$ .

For the force  $f_1$ , which is symmetrically distributed around zero, i.e., for the case  $f_+ = f_-$ , the maximal efficiency will be achieved in the case when  $f_0$  is taken near the gap's midpoint (shifted to the right by an infinitesimally small amount) and the amplitude of  $f_1$  is equal to one-half of the gap's width:

$$f_{0,\max} = \frac{V(L-2a)}{2a(L-a)}, \quad f_{1,\max} = \frac{VL}{2a(L-a)}, \quad (7)$$

which leads to the maximal value of the efficiency

$$\eta_{\text{sym}} = |1 - 2a/L|. \quad (8)$$

Note that the values of  $f_{0,\max}$  and  $f_{1,\max}$  correspond to a stagnation situation when the mean current  $\bar{I}$  just vanishes. Thus, under any symmetric outer force, the efficiency of the ratchet is bounded by a value smaller than unity, except for the extreme cases  $a=L$  or  $a=0$ . In this case the appliance shows the piecewise-linear volt-ampere characteristics of an ideal diode. For example, for  $a=L$  one gets

$$I(f) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } f < V/L \\ \mu(f - V/L) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

The case  $a=0$  corresponds to a symmetric situation,  $f \rightarrow -f$ .

The use of asymmetric external force leads to the efficiencies that are somewhat higher, but still not arbitrarily close to unity. Taking both  $f_{\min}$  and  $f_0$  to lie within the gap, near to its lower boundary  $f_{\min} \approx f_0 \approx -V/(L-a)$ , and  $f_{\max}$  being slightly higher than the upper boundary of the gap, we get that the value of efficiency tends to

$$\eta \approx \frac{-f_0}{f_{\max} - f_0} \approx \frac{V/(L-a)}{V/a + V/(L-a)} = a/L. \quad (10)$$

In this case the force  $f_1$  is strongly asymmetric: it takes almost all the time the small negative value of  $-f_-$ , and switches from time to time to a positive value of  $f_+$ , which equals the gap's width. Note that for the case  $a < L/2$ , one shifts the value of  $f_0$  and  $f_{\max}$  to the right boundary of the gap and takes  $f_{\min}$  slightly smaller than its left boundary, so that in general  $\eta_{\max} = \max\{a/L, (L-a)/L\} = 1/2 + |1/2 - a/L|$ . Introducing the asymmetry parameter  $\epsilon$  through  $a = L(1 + \epsilon)/2$ , one gets that the maximal efficiency for a symmetric outer force is  $\eta_{\text{sym}} = |\epsilon|$ , while the absolute maximum of the efficiency (for strongly asymmetric force) reads  $\eta_{\max} = 1/2 + |\epsilon|/2$ .

The fact that the adiabatic efficiencies do not achieve unity is easy to explain within the picture of deterministic motion. Let us consider meandering dichotomic external force switching between the values  $-f_-$  and  $f_+$ . The particle in such a system is moving to the right when  $f = f_+$  and gets stuck otherwise (it never steps backwards, cf. Ref. [18]). The highest efficiency is achieved under stalling condition, so that for  $f = f_+$  one side of the saw tooth (say, the left one of the length  $a$ ) gets practically horizontal. The velocity of a particle is infinitesimally small when passing this side; the

overall passing time diverges and the current vanishes. On the other hand, the motion of the particle along the other side of the ratchet, which is rather steep, follows with a finite velocity and is thus connected with finite losses, showing that the overall process is irreversible. The heat produced when sliding down the steep side equals  $Q = V[(L-a)/a + 1]$  per particle and does not vanish when  $a \rightarrow L$ . On the other hand, the maximal useful work per particle done during the time the particle traverses the period of the potential is given [for the case of the forces, Eq. (7)] by  $A = V[L(2a - L)]/[2a(L-a)]$  and grows when  $a \rightarrow L$ , due to the possibility to increase the amplitude of the external force. The value of  $\eta = A/(A+Q)$  then approaches unity not because the losses vanish but because the work  $A$  grows, i.e., *without reaching reversibility*. On the other hand, as we proceed to show, the reversible operation is also possible for each ratchet.

### III. THE REVERSIBLE MODE OF OPERATION

The analysis of the previous section gives an idea on how to reduce the losses: as soon as the particle crosses the apex of the potential, the see-saw force must change its sign, so that the velocity of the particle's falling down stays infinitesimally small. The losses will be minimized while the useful work stays finite. This will correspond to the reversible mode of operation.

Let us discuss a periodic outer field (period  $T$ ) and concentrate on the situation when the particle's displacement per period of the outer force corresponds to the period of the ratchet force  $L$ . Note that this situation never leads to adiabatic behavior. The useful work per period of the outer force is constant and is given by  $A = f_0 L$ . Thus, for a given ratchet force  $F(x)$  we are to look for a protocol (time dependence) of  $f_1(t)$ , which minimizes the heat  $Q = \int_0^T \mu^{-1} v^2(t) dt$ , where the particle's velocity  $v(t) = \dot{x}(t)$  is a periodic solution of a nonlinear differential equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = \mu[F(x_0 + x(t)) + f_0 + f_1(t)] \quad (11)$$

(being Eq. (1) without the noise term) for a given initial condition (say  $x_0 = 0$ ). The efficiency of the ratchet  $\eta = A/(A+Q)$  will then approach unity when the heat  $Q$  vanishes. Since  $Q = \mu^{-1} \overline{v^2(t)T}$ , the heat can vanish only for those protocols for which  $v^2(t)$  tends to zero, i.e., only under quasistatic conditions.

For example, confining ourselves to piecewise-linear ratchet potentials and piecewise-constant force  $f_1(t)$ , one finds that the duration of corresponding subperiods  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  ( $t_1 + t_2 = T$ ) must be equal to the time necessary for a particle to pass the distance  $a = L(1 + \epsilon)/2$  and  $L - a = L(1 - \epsilon)/2$ , respectively. Let us introduce a temporal asymmetry parameter  $\delta$  of the external force so that  $t_1 = T(1 + \delta)/2$ . Supposing that the mean value of  $f_1(t)$  is zero, we get  $f_- = f_+(1 + \delta)/(1 - \delta)$ . According to Eq. (11), during the first subperiod  $t_1$  the particle moves with the velocity  $v_1 = \mu[-2V/L(1 + \epsilon) + f_0 + f_+]$ , while during the second subperiod  $t_2$  it moves with the velocity  $v_2 = \mu[2V/L(1 - \epsilon)$

$+ f_0 - f_-]$ . In the optimal mode of operation, both velocities have to vanish, from which the values of  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  follow:

$$f_0 = -\frac{2V(\epsilon - \delta)}{L(1 - \epsilon^2)} \quad \text{and} \quad f_+ = \frac{2V(1 - \delta)}{L(1 - \epsilon^2)}. \quad (12)$$

The positive work is produced if  $f_0 < 0$ . For example, a temporary symmetric situation ( $\delta = 0$ ) would correspond to  $f_1 = \pm 2V/L(1 - \epsilon^2)$ . The mode of operation in this case is reversible: the work per particle per period of the field stays finite when increasing the period, while the losses vanish. In contrast with a rather robust adiabatic situation of the previous section, achieving high efficiency in a reversible regime assumes fine tuning of the temporal parameters of the field: the high efficiencies follow as a kind of a nonlinear resonance. In Appendix B, a way for finding such protocols for the potentials of arbitrary form is discussed.

Note that the solution of Eq. (11) follows under the initial condition  $x(0) = 0$ . For different initial conditions the protocol of the outer force has to be chosen in a different way (at least shifted in time). On the other hand, for a wide class of protocols, the particle gets resynchronized with the field within finite time under eventually any initial position. For example, our rectangular meandering protocol for the piecewise-linear ratchet belongs to this class: if the particle starts at  $t = 0$  with some coordinate  $x > 0$ , it will reach the point  $x = L$  earlier in time and will stay there until the field is switched in the opposite direction, thus resynchronizing its position. Another solution uses the possibility to synchronize the external field with the instantaneous state of the system, for example, to change the field's direction exactly at the moment when the particle crosses the cusp of the ratchet potential. This kind of synchronization corresponds to an engineering solution widely used since it was first introduced by a boy called Humphrey Potter into a Newcomen's steam engine in 1713 (see, e.g., Ref. [22] as an excellent old source). Humphrey Potter connected the cock regulating the access of steam into the cylinder with one of the moving parts of the engine and thus was able to increase the velocity of the operation by the factor of 2. This is exactly the kind of synchronization implied, e.g., by the mode of operation of a model-B ratchet of Ref. [13]; in a biological system one can imagine that a particle catalyzes the process leading to triggering of the external field, when it approaches an active center located near the cusp of the potential. Note that the systems that are synchronized in this sense can also be called the systems with localized transitions (which spatial aspect is strongly emphasized in Ref. [13]). Although the one-particle appliances with localized transitions may stay reversible even under finite temperatures (just like the appliance of Ref. [13] does), this way of achieving high efficiencies is not general; the Humphrey Potter's solution seems to be ideal only in one-particle appliances, since it is impossible to synchronize the external force (described by a single variable) with a state of a system of several particles described in a multidimensional phase space. Thus, either these particles must be connected in such a way that they build a macroscopic system described by a single effective variable, or the

triggering must take place when a considerable amount of particles gather in the vicinity of the active center.

#### IV. OPERATION UNDER NOISY CONDITIONS: SIZE VS TEMPERATURE

The resonant character of the reversible mode of operation makes it very sensitive to perturbations, e.g., to the thermal noise. Thus, for achieving ideal efficiencies, the system must be taken to be cold or macroscopic. In what follows we make some estimates, how cold or how macroscopic it has to be.

Imagine a particle situated at  $t=0$  at a lower cusp of the saw tooth,  $x=0$ . Statistical fluctuations connected with thermal motion lead to the fact that during the time necessary for a deterministic trajectory to pass the apex of potential at  $x=a$ , in approximately one-half of all realizations, the particle still does not reach the apex, so that half of the trajectories do not contribute to useful work at all. In the other half of the realizations the particle has already passed the apex, so that it moves with nonzero velocity and contributes to losses.

Let us vary the protocol slightly in a way that warrants that a large amount of particles crosses the apex of the potential when the force is showing in the correct direction. On the other hand, the mean-square velocity of the particles is to be kept as small as possible to reduce losses. In order to increase the probability that the particle starting at zero crosses the apex during the time the force is showing, say, to the right, let us increase  $t_1$  by the amount  $\Delta t$  necessary to guarantee crossing the top even when the distribution of the particle's position gets broadened by diffusion. Let us consider a ratchet whose asymmetry factor  $\epsilon$  is not extreme (not too near to zero or unity), so that both slopes are of the same order of magnitude,  $|F(x)| \approx U/L$ . The lengths of both sides are of the order of magnitude of  $L$ . During the time  $t_1 = a/v_1$  of travel along the left part of the saw tooth with a constant velocity  $v_1$ , the distribution of the particle's positions broadens to the width  $W \approx \sqrt{Dt_1}$ . Thus, waiting an additional time  $\Delta t \approx W/v_1$  assures that the apex will be crossed in almost all realizations. If we start from a well-localized situation, then  $\Delta t \approx \sqrt{Da/v_1}/v_1 = \sqrt{Dav_1^{-3/2}}$ . Having crossed the apex the particles slide along the steep part of the saw tooth with the velocity  $v_f \approx \mu F \approx \mu U/L$ . The overall losses during the first half-period of outer force are of the order of  $v_1^2 t_1 / \mu + v_f^2 \Delta t / \mu \sim v_1 a / \mu + v_f^2 \sqrt{Dav_1^{-3/2}} / \mu$ . After most of the particles have passed the apex, the outer field is switched to the opposite direction, and the particles move along the other side of the saw tooth with small velocity  $v_2$ . Let us take  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  to be of the same order of magnitude:  $v_1 \approx v_2 \approx v$ . For the overall losses we get:  $Q \approx BvL/\mu + CvU^2L^{-3/2}D^{1/2}v^{-3/2}$  (where  $B$  and  $C$  are some numerical factors depending on the form of the ratchet), which expression is minimized under  $v \sim \mu U^{4/5} \theta^{1/5} L^{1/5}$ . This leads by the order of magnitude to  $Q \approx U^{4/5} \theta^{1/5}$ , while the useful work is of the order of  $A = f_0 L \approx U$ , so that

$$1 - \eta \approx (U/\theta)^{-1/5}. \quad (13)$$

Thus, the way to increase the efficiency of the system (i.e., to make  $Q$  small compared to  $A$ ) is to take  $U/\theta \gg 1$ , i.e., to make the system macroscopic or cold. Note that if the typical value of the external field is given, the saw-tooth height  $U$  can be made large compared to  $\theta$  by introducing flat, long steps, thus leading to high efficiencies. On the other hand, the dependence of  $\eta$  on size or temperature is weak (follows a power law with a very small exponent of  $1/5$ ) so that the high efficiencies can be only achieved on rather macroscopic scales. Note also that at given size and temperature the smallest losses are achieved under finite velocity, i.e. under the *finite-time* mode of operation. The typical period of the field will scale as  $t \sim L/v \sim \mu^{-1} L^{4/5} \theta^{-1/5} U^{-4/5}$  and diverges under  $\theta \rightarrow 0$  or  $L \rightarrow \infty$ .

We have discussed the situation when at the beginning of the period the particles were localized at the lower cusp of a saw tooth, near  $x=0$ . Thus, in order to allow for periodic operation, one has to give the particles enough time to collect into a narrow region near the bottom of the potential after performing the cycle of operation (which corresponds to a period of the external field). To do this one can add to the time necessary for making the way from the top to the bottom of the potential an additional amount of time necessary to thermalize, and to reduce the state to its initial width. For example, one can add at the end of each period an amount of time  $t_0$  during which the see-saw force is switched to zero. Then each new period will start from a distribution of particles localized in a spatial domain of width  $W_1 \sim (\theta/U)L$ , centered at  $x \approx 0$ . The magnitude of the maximal efficiency achieved under this procedure is still given by Eq. (13).

#### V. CONCLUSIONS

Driven ratchets belong to the class of strongly nonlinear and strongly nonequilibrium thermodynamical systems, so that the problem of optimizing their efficiencies is not simple. We have considered the simplest situation of a cold or macroscopic ratchet and discussed its efficiency under different conditions. Since any finite-velocity mode of operation leads to inevitable losses, the highest efficiencies are achieved in quasistatic regime. We have shown that efficiency of a ratchet as a rectifier, i.e., a device transforming an adiabatically slowly changing outer field with zero mean into a continuous directed motion, is bounded by some value  $\eta_{\max}$  depending on the geometry of the ratchet and the form of the outer force. For ratchets consisting of extremely steep and of almost flat parts  $\eta_{\max} \rightarrow 1$ , the system approaches ideal efficiency in the irreversible mode of operation. On the other hand, we have also shown, that for a wide class of (nonrandom) protocols of the outer force a reversible mode of operation is possible, under which the ideal efficiency of  $\eta = 1$  is also achieved. This mode corresponds to a quasistatic but not adiabatic operation. It is worth noting that such a synchronized ratchet resembles much more a well-constructed engine, than an appliance extracting work from a noise; in macroscopic systems high efficiencies of reversible operation are preserved also at elevated temperatures.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The author is thankful to J. Klafter, A. Blumen, and U. Handge for enlightening discussions. Financial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through the SFB 428 and by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged.

**APPENDIX A: THE VOLT-AMPERE CHARACTERISTICS OF A RATCHET**

In an adiabatic regime the current  $I$  through the ratchet is given by a stationary solution of the Fokker-Planck equation, Eq. (2):

$$D \frac{dp(x)}{dx} + \mu p(x) \frac{d}{dx} U(x) = -I, \quad (\text{A1})$$

with  $D = \mu \theta$ . The formal solution of this equation reads

$$p(x) = \exp[-U(x)/\theta] \times \left[ p(0) - (I/\mu\theta) \int_0^x \exp(U(x')/\theta) dx' \right]. \quad (\text{A2})$$

The current  $I$  and the integration constant  $p(0)$  follow then from the additional conditions representing the periodicity  $p(L) = p(0)$  and the overall normalization  $\int_0^L p(x) dx = 1$ , leading to

$$I = \mu \theta \left[ \frac{\exp - U(L)/\theta}{\exp - U(L)/\theta - 1} \left( \int_0^L dx' \exp - U(x')/\theta \right) \times \left( \int_0^L dx' \exp U(x')/\theta \right) - \int_0^L dx' \exp - U(x')/\theta \times \int_0^{x'} dx'' \exp U(x'')/\theta \right]^{-1}. \quad (\text{A3})$$

The solution for the piecewise-linear potential, Eq. (3), is given by the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu I^{-1} &= \frac{L-a}{f+V/(L-a)} + \frac{a}{f-V/a} \\ &+ \theta \left\{ \frac{\exp\{-[V+f(L-a)]/\theta\} - 1}{[f+V/(L-a)]^2} \right. \\ &- \left. \frac{\exp[-(V-fa)/\theta] - 1}{(f-V/a)^2} \right\} \\ &+ \theta \left\{ \frac{\exp[-(V-fa)/\theta] - 1}{f-V/a} \right. \\ &- \left. \frac{\exp\{-[V+f(L-a)]/\theta\} - 1}{f+V/(L-a)} \right\}^2 \\ &\times \{ \exp[-(V-fa)/\theta] \\ &- \exp[-[V+f(L-a)]/\theta] \}^{-1}. \quad (\text{A4}) \end{aligned}$$

For  $\theta \rightarrow 0$  one of the exponential terms is positive and large for  $f > V/a$  and for  $f < -V/(L-a)$ , and both of them tend to zero for  $-V/(L-a) < f < V/a$ , in which case the current vanishes. Thus, in this limiting case, Eq. (4) follows. Note that the same limiting behavior emerges when, keeping the form of the ratchet constant, one increases its size in a way that both  $V/a$  and  $V/(L-a)$  stay constant.

**APPENDIX B: THE BEST PROTOCOL FOR A GIVEN RATCHET**

Let us discuss the procedure of finding good protocols for reversible operation for a given ratchet potential of general form. Let us fix some differentiable, monotonously growing function  $\xi(\tau)$ ,  $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$ , so that  $\xi(0) = 0$  and  $\xi(1) = 1$ . Note that under these conditions an inverse function  $\tau(\xi)$ , exists, which is also a monotonously growing, differentiable function. Let us now consider  $\xi$  as the particle's relative position within the period of potential  $L$  and  $\tau$  as the reduced time, so that  $x(\tau T) = L\xi(\tau)$ . Let us now look for a protocol that realizes the corresponding  $x(t)$  motion, and increase the period of the field. From Eq. (11) one then gets

$$f(T\tau) = -F[L\xi(\tau)] - f_0 + \frac{L}{\mu T} \frac{d\xi}{d\tau}. \quad (\text{B1})$$

Equation (B1) allows finding good protocols for a given ratchet or good ratchets for given protocol. Requiring the zero mean value of the see-saw force one gets

$$f_0 = - \int_0^1 F[L\xi(\tau)] d\tau + \frac{L}{\mu T} = \frac{L}{\mu T} - \int_0^1 F(L\xi) \frac{d\tau}{d\xi} d\xi. \quad (\text{B2})$$

Since the positive work requires  $f_0 < 0$ , each protocol, for which

$$\int_0^1 F(L\xi) \frac{d\tau}{d\xi} d\xi > 0 \quad (\text{B3})$$

tends to be a good one when performed slowly enough. Since the losses are  $Q = \mu^{-1} \int_0^T v^2(t) dt$ , and since  $v(t) = (L/T)\xi'(t/T)$ , one has

$$Q = \mu^{-1} \frac{L^2}{T} \int_0^1 [\xi'(\tau)]^2 d\tau. \quad (\text{B4})$$

The corresponding integral is supposed to converge

$$\kappa = \int_0^1 [\xi'(\tau)]^2 d\tau < \infty. \quad (\text{B5})$$

The exact behavior of this function is not very important. The efficiency of the corresponding engine will then be

$$\eta = \frac{|f_0|}{|f_0| + \kappa \mu L/T}. \quad (\text{B6})$$

which tends to unity when the period of the external force grows. Thus, each reversible function  $\xi(\tau)$  fulfilling Eqs. (B3) and (B5) delivers a good protocol for a reversible operation.

For example, let us take an arbitrary positive, monotonously increasing bounded function  $g(x)$ . Then taking  $\tau(\xi) = \int_0^\xi g[F(L\xi)]d\xi / \int_0^1 g[F(L\xi)]d\xi$  guarantees that  $\int_0^1 F(L\xi) d\tau/d\xi d\xi > 0$ , since the regions where  $F(L\xi)$  is

positive get in the integral the higher weight than those where it is negative and since  $\int_0^1 F(L\xi)d\xi = 0$ . This class of protocols does not cover the whole set of possible protocols, since many other protocols, like piecewise-linear functions  $\tau(\xi) = \alpha + \beta\Theta[F(L\xi)]\xi$  (where  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ , and  $\Theta$  is a Heaviside step-function) will also lead eventually to an ideal mode of operation. A protocol for the piecewise-linear ratchet discussed in Sec. III corresponds just to this class.

- 
- [1] M. O. Magnasco, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1477 (1993).  
 [2] M. O. Magnasco, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 2656 (1994).  
 [3] M. Bier and R. D. Astumian, Bioelectrochem. Bioenerg. **39**, 67 (1996).  
 [4] R. Bartussek, P. Reimann, and P. Hänggi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 1166 (1996).  
 [5] K. Sekimoto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **66**, 1234 (1997).  
 [6] I. M. Sokolov and A. Blumen, J. Phys. A **30**, 3021 (1997).  
 [7] J. Kula, T. Czernik, and J. Luczka, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1377 (1998).  
 [8] I. M. Sokolov and A. Blumen, Chem. Phys. **235**, 39 (1998).  
 [9] H. Kamegawa, T. Hondou, and F. Takagi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 5251 (1998).  
 [10] H. Qian, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 3063 (1998).  
 [11] Y. Aghababaie, G. I. Menon, and M. Plischke, Phys. Rev. E **59**, 2578 (1999).  
 [12] F. Takagi and T. Hondou, Phys. Rev. E **60**, 4954 (1999).  
 [13] A. Parmeggiani, F. Jülicher, A. Ajdari, and J. Prost, Phys. Rev. E **60**, 2127 (1999).  
 [14] C. Jarzynski and O. Mazonka, Phys. Rev. E **59**, 6448 (1999).  
 [15] I. M. Sokolov, Phys. Rev. E **60**, 4946 (1999).  
 [16] M. O. Magnasco and G. Stolovitzky, J. Stat. Phys. **93**, 615 (1998).  
 [17] I. Derényi and R. D. Astumian, Phys. Rev. E **59**, R6219 (1999).  
 [18] M. Porto, M. Urbakh, and J. Klafter, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 491 (2000).  
 [19] T. E. Dialynas, K. Lindenberg, and G. P. Tsironis, Phys. Rev. E **56**, 3976 (1997).  
 [20] A. Sarmiento and H. Larralde, Phys. Rev. E **59**, 4878 (1999).  
 [21] I. M. Sokolov, e-print cond-mat/0002251 (unpublished).  
 [22] R. H. Thurston, *A History of the Growth of the Steam Engine* (D. Appleton and Co., New York, 1878) (the book is also available in the internet at [www.history.rochester.edu/steam/](http://www.history.rochester.edu/steam/)).